

Panaji, 9th May, 2002 (Vaisakha 19, 1924)

SERIES II No. 6

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE



## GOVERNMENT OF GOA

*Note:- There are Three Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 5 dated 2-5-2002 as follows:-*

- 1) Extraordinary dated 6-5-2002 from pages 77 to 78 regarding Notifications from Department of Elections. (Office of the Secretary, Elections).
- 2) Extraordinary No. 2 dated 8-5-2002 from pages 79 to 80 regarding Notification from Department of Finance (FC/FR Division).
- 3) Extraordinary No. 3 dated 8-5-2002 from pages 81 to 82 regarding Notifications from Department of General Administration.

### GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Mines

Directorate of Industries & Mines

#### Order

No. 96/253/88-Mines/2185

(In the matter of renewal of Mining Lease of the Applicants M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988)

M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Gottovo Dongor" for iron and manganese ore granted under title of concession No. 17 dated 2-3-51 over an area of 44.0750 Ha. of land situated in Netorlim village of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act 1987, the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 21-11-88 M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/253/88-Mines/390 dated 14-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by

an order bearing No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common order No. 18/93 dated 17-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the renewal application on merits and in accordance with law.

The applicants by a notice No. 96/253/88-Mines/7526 dated 14-10-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents :—

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3) (d) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3) (h) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
3. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.
4. Record of Rights in Form I & XIV.
5. Copy of cadastral survey plan superimposed on deemed lease.
6. Article of Memorandum.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/253/88-Mines/3000 dated 5-3-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing on 27-3-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants on 12-3-01. The applicants however by their letter dated 27-3-01 requested for 6 months time to attend the personal hearing. The matter was however adjourned to 23-4-01. Subsequently, at the request of the applicants, the matter was adjourned to 28-5-01, 3-7-01, 17-7-01, 31-7-01 and finally on 6-8-01. The applicants failed to submit the valid clearance certificate. I am therefore left with no other alternative but to decide the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under Rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set

aside by the Central Government on 17-6-93 in the revision application filed by the applicants with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the renewal application on merits and in accordance to law. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the Revisional Authority around 8 years have passed and regrettably the valid clearance certificate which is required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 has still not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 was issued to the applicants on 16-10-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to submit the same. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 5-3-01 which was received by the applicants. However, till date the applicants have failed to clear all the mining dues and produce the valid clearance certificate. It is also seen from available record that the above mining lease is not in operation for the last 18 years. A show cause notice bearing No. 96/253/88-Mines/2795 dated 9-2-01 was issued to which there has been no response. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit the valid clearance certificate as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the same as on date, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore, I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-00 hereby reject the application of M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 27th February, 2002.

### Order

No. 96/337/88-Mines/2351

(In the matter of renewal of Mining Lease of the Applicants M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988)

M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Kariamol Garadimol" for iron and manganese ore granted under title of concession No. 31 dated 12-8-57 over an area of 98.3600 Ha of land situated in Cumbari village of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act 1987, the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 21-11-88 M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied

for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/337/88-Mines/421 dated 17-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/17/89-U.D dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common order No. 18/93 dated 17-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the renewal application on merits and in accordance with law.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/337/88-Mines/6694 dated 25-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents :—

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3) (d) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3) (h) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
3. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.
4. Copy of cadastral survey plan superimposed on deemed lease.
5. Records of rights in Form I & XIV.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/337/88-Mines/2969 dated 2-3-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing on 21-3-01. The applicants however by their letter dated 21-3-01 requested for 6 months time. The request was duly considered and the matter was adjourned to 28-4-01. The matter was thereafter adjourned to 4-6-01, 10-7-01, 28-8-01, 28-9-01, 5-11-01, 11-12-01, 25-2-02 and finally on 13-3-02. In spite of sufficient time even as on date, the applicants failed to clear the outstanding dues and submit the valid clearance certificate. I am therefore left with no other alternative but to decide the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under Rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government on 17-6-93 in the revision application filed by the applicant with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the renewal application on merits and in accordance to law. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the Revisional Authority around 8 years have passed and regrettably the valid clearance certificate which is required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960

has still not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 was issued to the applicants on 25-9-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to submit the same. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 2-3-01 which was received by the applicants. However, till date the applicants have failed to clear all the mining dues and produce the valid clearance certificate. It is also seen from available record that the mining lease is not in operation for the last 25 years. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit the documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the same as on date, I have no alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore, I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-00 hereby reject the application of M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 19th March, 2002.

### Order

No. 96/252/88-Mines/2356

(In the matter of renewal of Mining Lease of the Applicants M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988)

M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Paicatembo" for iron and manganese ore granted under Title of concession No. 5 dated 23-1-50 over an area of 72.2313 Ha of land situated in Viliena village of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act 1987, the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 21-11-88 M/s. S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/252/88-Mines/387 dated 14-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/17/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government

and by a common order No. 18/93 dated 17-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the renewal application on merits and in accordance with law.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/252/88-Mines/7281 dated 12-10-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents :—

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3) (d) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3) (h) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
3. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.
4. Copy of cadastral survey plan superimposed on deemed lease.
5. Articles of Memorandum.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/252/88-Mines/2832 dated 14-2-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing on 5-3-01. However as there was no acknowledgement of notice, a fresh notice was issued on 20-3-01 fixing the personal hearing on 20-4-01. The applicants however by their letter dated 19-4-01 sought some more time to produce the valid clearance certificate. The matter was thereafter adjourned to 22-5-01, 27-6-01, 27-8-01, 17-9-01, 29-10-01, 29-11-01, 7-1-02, 25-2-02 and finally on 13-3-02. In spite of sufficient time even as on date, the applicants failed to clear the outstanding dues and submit the valid clearance certificate. I am therefore left with no alternative but to decide the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under Rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government on 17-6-93 in the revision application filed by the applicants with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the renewal application on merits and in accordance to law. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the Revisional Authority around 8 years have passed and regrettably the valid clearance certificate which is required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 has still not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 was issued to the applicants on 12-10-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to submit the same. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 20-3-01 which was received by the applicants. However, till date the applicants have failed to clear all the mining dues and produce the valid clearance certificate. In the

circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit the documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the same as on date, I have no alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore, I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-00 hereby reject the application of M/s S. Kantilal & Co. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 19th March, 2002.

### Order

No. 96/327/88-Mines/2331

(In the matter of renewal of Mining Lease of the applicants M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988)

M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Matmeapan e Satarcaracheam" for iron and manganese ore granted under title of concession No. 9 dated 13-2-1953 over an area of 23 Ha. of land situated in Maina village of Quepem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act 1987, the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 22-11-88 M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/327/88-Mines/277 dated 7-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/18/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common order No. 17/93 dated 16-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to reconsider the renewal application for disposal on merits and in accordance with law. Directions were also issued to the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within a period of 6 months failing which the State Government shall be at liberty to pass appropriate order as they deemed fit.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/327/88-Mines/5948 dated 8-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents :—

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3) (d) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3) (b) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
3. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.
4. Copy of cadastral survey plan superimposed on deemed lease.
5. copy of valid approved mining plan.
6. Record of rights in Form I & XIV.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/327/88-Mines/2764 dated 7-2-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing on 1-3-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants. The applicants however by their letter dated 13-2-2001 requested for 8 weeks' time to attend the personal hearing. The request was duly considered and the matter was adjourned to 22-3-01. On 22-3-01, the applicants moved another application and requested for adjournment and the matter was fixed for 30-4-01. On 30-4-01, the applicants submitted the documents indicated at Sr. No. 3, 4 and 6 above and sought some more time to produce the approved mining plan as well as the valid clearance certificate. The matter was thereafter adjourned to 4-6-01, 10-7-01, 20-8-01, 24-9-01, 15-10-01, 12-11-01, 26-11-01, 7-1-02, 25-2-02 and finally on 13-3-02. The applicants failed to effect the payment of the outstanding dues and submit the valid clearance certificate as well as the approved mining plan. I am therefore left with no other alternative but to decide the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under Rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government on 16-6-93 in the revision application filed by the applicant with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the application for renewal of mining lease on merits and in accordance to law and also with a direction to the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within a period of 6 months. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the Revisional Authority around 8 years have passed and regrettably the valid clearance certificate as well as the approved mining plan which are required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 has not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 was issued to the applicants on 14-9-98 and despite this notice the

applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to submit the same. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 7-2-01 which was received by the applicants. However, till date the applicants have failed to clear all the mining dues and produce the valid clearance certificate as well as the approved mining plan.

It is also seen from available records that the mining lease is not in operation for the last 27 years. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit the valid clearance certificate and the approved mining plan as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the same as on date, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-00 hereby reject the application of M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 15th March, 2002.

#### Order

No. 96/265A/88-Mines/2332

(In the matter of renewal of Mining Lease of the Applicants M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988)

M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Aforamento de Burculo Sounsar" for iron and manganese ore granted under Title of concession No. 9 dated 28-3-56 over an area of 73.802 Ha of land situated in Arvalem village of Bicholim taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act 1987, the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 21-11-88 M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/265A/88-Mines/288 dated 7-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicant to submit the approved mining plan within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/18/89-ILD dated 25-4-90. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the

Central Government and by a common order No. 13/93 dated 1-6-93 the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the renewal application on merits and in accordance to law.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/265A/88-Mines/5947 dated 8-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents :—

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3) (d) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.
2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3) (h) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/265A/88-Mines/2982 dated 2-3-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing on 23-3-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants. The applicants however by their letter dated 23-3-01 requested for 6 months time. The matter was however adjourned to 30-4-01. On 30-4-01, the applicants sought some more time to produce valid clearance certificate. The matter was therefore adjourned to 4-6-01, 10-7-01, 20-8-01, 24-9-01, 15-10-01, 12-11-01, 26-11-01, 7-1-02, 25-2-02 and finally on 13-3-02. In spite of sufficient time even as on date, the applicants failed to clear the outstanding dues and submit the valid clearance certificate. I am therefore left with no other alternative but to decide the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under Rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government on 1-6-93 in the revision application filed by the applicant with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the renewal application on merits and in accordance to law. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the Revisional Authority around 8 years have passed and regrettably the valid clearance certificate which is required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 has still not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 was issued to the applicants on 8-9-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to submit the same. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 2-3-01 which was received by the applicants. However, till date the applicants have failed to clear all the mining dues and produce the valid clearance certificate. Further it is also seen from available record that the mining lease is not in operation for the last 18 years. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit the documents as required under rule 22 of Mineral

Concession Rules 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the same as on date, I have no alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore, I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub-rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines dt. 22-12-00 hereby reject the application of M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 15th March, 2002.

### Order

No. 96/262/88-Mines/2333

(In the matter of renewal of Mining Lease of the applicants M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. by application dated 21-11-1988)

M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa were holders of erstwhile mining concession named "Rameagalli Talsai" for iron ore granted under title of concession No. 39 dated 22-10-1954 over an area of 55.3655 Ha of land situated in Sancordem and Dharbandora villages of Sanguem taluka. Consequent upon the enactment of the Goa, Daman and Diu Mining Concessions (Abolition and Declaration as Mining Leases) Act 1987, the above mining concession stood abolished and declared as mining lease under Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act 1957 and the rules made thereunder.

On 22-11-88 M/s. Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. of Margao Goa (hereinafter referred to as the applicants) applied for renewal of mining lease for a further period. By a notice bearing No. 96/262/88-Mines/290 dated 7-2-89 under Rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the applicants were called upon to furnish the approved mining plan. As there was failure on the part of the applicants to submit the approved mining plan within the stipulated period, the application for renewal of the above mining lease was rejected by the Government by an order bearing No. 5/18/89-ILD dated 25-4-1990. Being aggrieved by the order of rejection, the applicants filed a revision application before the Central Government and by a common order No. 13/93 dated 1-6-93, the Central Government set aside the order of rejection with a direction to the State Government to reconsider the renewal application for disposal on merits and in accordance with law.

The applicants by a notice bearing No. 96/262/88-Mines/6128 dated 14-9-98 were called upon to furnish the following documents :—

1. Valid clearance certificate in terms of Rule 22(3) (d) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.

2. Statement of consent towards surface rights in terms of Rule 22(3) (h) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960.

3. Copy of plan of existing deemed mining lease.

4. Copy of cadastral survey plan superimposed on deemed lease.

As none of the above documents were submitted, a notice bearing No. 96/262/88-Mines/2782 dated 7-2-01 was issued to the applicants fixing the date for personal hearing on 27-2-01 which notice was duly received by the applicants. The applicants however by their letter dated 13-2-2001 requested for 8 weeks' time to attend the personal hearing. The request was duly considered and the matter was adjourned to 22-3-01. On 22-3-01, the applicants moved another application and requested for adjournment and the matter was fixed for 30-4-01. On 30-4-01, the applicants submitted the documents indicated at sr. no. 3, and 4 above and sought some more time to produce a valid clearance certificate. The matter was thereafter adjourned to 4-6-01, 10-7-01, 20-8-01, 24-9-01, 15-10-01, 12-11-01, 26-11-01, 7-1-02, 25-2-02 and finally on 13-3-02. The applicants failed to effect the payment of the outstanding dues and submit the valid clearance certificate. I am therefore left with no other alternative but to decide the case on merits.

I have gone through the records of the case. It is seen that the application for renewal of mining lease was submitted in the year 1988 namely about 13 years back without proper documents as required under Rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The application for renewal of mining lease was rejected earlier by the Government vide Order dated 25-4-90 which was set aside by the Central Government on 1-6-93 in the revision application filed by the applicant with a direction to the State Government to dispose of the application for renewal of mining lease on merits and in accordance to law. Subsequent to the passing of the order by the Revisional Authority around 8 years have passed and regrettably the valid clearance certificate which is required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 has not been submitted. A statutory notice as required under rule 26(3) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 was issued to the applicants on 14-9-98 and despite this notice the applicants did not bother to take any effective steps to submit the same. Finally, a notice for personal hearing was issued on 7-2-01 which was received by the applicants. However, till date the applicants have failed to clear all the mining dues and produce the valid clearance certificate.

It is also seen from available records that the mining lease is not operation for the last 30 years. In the circumstances mentioned above, it is abundantly clear that sufficient opportunities were given to the applicants to submit the valid clearance certificate as required under rule 22 of Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. Since the applicants have failed to produce the same as on date, I have no other alternative but to reject the application.

Now, therefore I, Shri J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines in exercise of powers conferred upon me under sub rule (1) of rule 26 of Mineral Concession Rules 1960 in pursuance of Notification No. 5/80/89-Mines & 22-12-00 hereby reject the application of M/s Shantilal Khushaldas & Bros. Pvt. Ltd. for renewal of mining lease.

J. B. Singh, Director of Industries and Mines.

Panaji, 15th March, 2002.

### Department of Personnel

#### Order

No. 6/2/98-PER

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee as conveyed by the Goa Public Service Commission vide its letter No. COM/II/11/42(3)/99 dated 11-4-2002, the Governor of Goa is pleased to promote on regular basis, the following Junior Administrative Grade Officers of Goa Civil Service to the Selection Grade of the said Service in the pay scale of Rs. 14300-400-18300 with effect from 1-4-2002.

1. Shri D. C. Sahoo
2. Shri A. K. Wasnik
3. Shri S. P. Dixit
4. Shri U. D. Kamat
5. Shri J. B. Singh
6. Shri Cholleti Prabhakar
7. Shri W. V. R. Murthy
8. Shri Pratapsingh Meena
9. Shri D. A. Hawaldar
10. Shri N. Suryanarayana
11. Shri P. Sreenivasa Reddy
12. Shri R. Mihir Vardhan
13. Shri Ganesh Chimulkar
14. Shri P. M. Borkar
15. Shri S. S. Keshkamat

2. The pay of the Officers shall be fixed in terms of provisions of F. R. 22(I) (a) (2) and they shall exercise option within one month from the date of issue of this order.

3. This order will be subject to the Order/Judgement of the High Court in Writ Petitions No. 219/95 and 17/96.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 12th April, 2002.

#### Order

No. 5/5/90-PER/Part

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee as conveyed by the Goa Public Service Commission vide its letter No. COM/II/11/42(1)/96 dated 11-4-2002, the Governor of Goa is pleased to promote on regular basis, the following Senior Scale Officers of Goa Civil Service to the Junior Administrative Grade of the said Service in pay scale of Rs. 12000-375-16500 with effect from 1-4-2002:—

1. Shri K. B. Surjuse
2. Shri A. T. Kamat

2. The pay of the Officers shall be fixed in terms of provisions of F. R. 22 (I) (a) (2) and they shall exercise option within one month from the date of issue of this order.

3. This order will be subject to the Order/Judgement of the High Court in Writ Petitions No. 219/95 and 17/96.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 12th April, 2002.

#### Order

No. 15/1/99-PER-Part

Government is pleased to order the transfer of Shri Surendra F. Naik, Jt. Mamlatdar, Bicholim, and post him as Jt. Mamlatdar, Satari, with immediate effect, in public interest.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 30th March, 2002.

#### Order

No. 15/1/99-PER-Part

Shri Murari Bondre, Mamlatdar, Sanguem, shall hold the charge of the post of Chief Officer, Sanguem Municipal Council, Sanguem, in addition to his own duties, with immediate effect, until further orders, thereby relieving Shri M. K. Vasta, of the additional charge.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 30th March, 2002.



**Order**

No. 13/1/2002-PER

The Governor of Goa is pleased to grant extension in service to Shri V. S. Alornekar, Registrar of District & Sessions Court, Panaji, beyond date of superannuation i.e. from 31-3-2002 to 30-3-2003.

2. The extension is subject to termination without assigning any reason with one month's notice at any time during the period of extension.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

G. P. Chimulkar, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 30th March, 2002.

**Order**

No. 13/3/2001-PER (C)

Read: order No. 13/3/2001-PER (C) dated 29-10-2001.

The re-employment period of Shri A. P. Nunes, Under Secretary in the Office of the Advocate General is hereby extended for a further period of 3 months upto 30-6-2002.

2. Shri Nunes shall draw emoluments as per rules.

3. His appointment is subject to the terms and conditions executed by him in the Agreement dated 5-4-2001.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

G. P. Chimulkar, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 1st April, 2002.

**Order**

No. 2/7/76-PER (Vol. III) Part

Read: Order No. 2/7/76-PER (Vol. III) part dated 22-9-2000.

The Constitution of Departmental Selection Committee for the post of Police Sub Inspector in the Police Department shall be as follows:—

- |                                     |            |
|-------------------------------------|------------|
| (1) Director General of Police      | — Chairman |
| (2) Dy. Inspector General of Police | — Member   |
| (3) Secretary (Higher Education)    | — Member   |
| (4) Joint Secretary (Home)          | — Member   |

2. The order cited above shall stand modified to this extent.

3. This order shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 2nd April, 2002.

**Order**

No. 7/22/98-PER

Read: Government Order No. 7/22/98-PER dated 8-2-2002 for grant of extension of leave from 9-1-2002 to 28-3-2002 to Smt. Rinku Khirwar, IAS (AGMU: 94) Secretary to the Government.

Sanction of the Government is hereby accorded for grant of extension of 5 days Earned Leave with effect from 1-4-2002 to 5-4-2002 with permission to suffix 6th and 7th April, 2002 being Saturday and Sunday to Smt. Rinku Khirwar, I.A.S., Secretary to the Government.

On expiry of above referred Earned Leave, the Governor of Goa is pleased to relieve Smt. Khirwar from this Administration with effect from 8th April, 2002 (F. N.) in order to enable her to join her new posting under Government of National Capital Territory of Delhi, Delhi Secretariat, in terms of Government of India, Ministry of Home Affairs' Order No. 14020/10/2001-UTS dated 7th January, 2002.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 5th April, 2002.

**Order**

No. 5/3/90-PER

Read: Government Order No. 6/4/91-PER (Part II) dated 17-9-2001.

The ad hoc appointments of the following Junior Scale Officers of Goa Civil Service made vide Government order dated 17-9-2001 have been extended for a further period of six months with effect from 17-3-2002 to 17-9-2002 or till the appointments are made on regular basis, whichever is earlier :—

1. Shri Vikash Mardolkar.
2. Shri Mario D'Silva.
3. Miss Shabari Manjrekar.
4. Shri Arvind Bugde.



5. Shri Venancio Furtado
6. Shri Agnelo A. J. Fernandes
7. Shri Netto D. F. Pereira
8. Shri J. S. Pai
9. Shri F. M. Mascarenhas
10. Shri Honoratto Rodrigues

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*D. M. Borkar*, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 8th April, 2002.

#### Order

No. 6/9/91-PER

Read: Order No. 6/9/91-PER dated 21-3-2002.

In partial modification of the order cited above, Shri J. S. Pai, Deputy Collector (Revenue), North, is transferred and posted as Assistant Chief Electoral Officer, Panaji, with immediate effect, thereby relieving Shri Sanjit Rodrigues of the additional charge.

Shri Sanjit Rodrigues Deputy Collector and S. D. O. South is posted as "Officer on Special Duty" in the Officer of the Chief Electoral Officer, Panaji, in addition to his own duties.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*D. M. Borkar*, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 11th April, 2002.

#### Order

No. 24/5/79-PER (Part)

The Governor of Goa is pleased to promote on ad hoc basis, the following Police Inspectors to the Junior Scale of Goa Police Service in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-275-13500 with immediate effect.

Sr. No.	Name of the Police Inspectors
---------	-------------------------------

1. Shri Shamba M. Sawant
2. Shri Subhash R. Goltekar
3. Shri Mohan N. Karekar

The above ad hoc appointment shall be for a period of six months or till the vacancies are filled on regular basis, whichever is earlier.

The above ad hoc appointments will not bestow the promoted officers any claim for regular appointment and

the services rendered on ad hoc basis in the grade will not count for purpose of seniority in that grade for eligibility for promotion to the next higher grade.

The posting order of the above promotees is being issued separately.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*G. P. Chimulkar*, Joint Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 12th April, 2002.

#### Order

No. 7/5/74-PER (Vol. IV)

On the recommendation of the Departmental Promotion Committee as conveyed by the Goa Public Service Commission vide its letter No. COM/II/11/30 (13)/90 dated 8-4-2002, Governor of Goa is pleased to promote on regular basis Dr. Shanti Pamnani, Professor, to the post of Dean, Goa Medical College, in the pay scale of Rs. 18400-500-22400 plus Non Practising Allowance (NPA) of Rs. 900/- per month, with immediate effect.

Dr. Shanti Pamnani shall be on probation as per Goa, Daman & Diu Medical Education Service Rules, 1979.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*D. M. Borkar*, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 17th April, 2002.

#### Notification

No. 7/6/99-PER

The subject "Panchayati Raj and Community Development" presently allotted to Smt. Rina Ray, Development Commissioner stands allotted to Shri C. V. S. Rama Rao, Secretary (Science and Technology) with immediate effect.

The Notification of even number dated 25-3-2002 shall stand modified to this extent.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*D. M. Borkar*, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 5th April, 2002.

## Department of Public Health

**Order**

No. 4/4/2000-II/PHD/590

Read: Government order No. 4/4/2000-II/PHD  
dt. 17-1-2001.

Government is pleased to extend the contractual appointment of Dr. C. J. Vas, Consultant Neurologist in Goa Medical College for a further period of one year w.e.f. 1-3-2002 on a consolidated monthly salary of Rs. 24,000/- on the same terms and conditions in the Agreement dated 29-12-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*B. T. Mahale*, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 28th March, 2002.

**Order**

No. 4/13/2001-II/PHD/680

Read: Memorandum No. 4/13/2001-II/PHD  
dt. 26-3-2002.

On the recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/ /30(34)/89 dated 28-2-2002, the Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Lawande Prashant R. to the post of Lecturer in the Department of Surgery, Goa Medical College, on temporary basis on an initial basic pay of Rs. 10,000/- in the pay scale of Rs. 10,000-325-15,200+NPA with immediate effect as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above.

Dr. Lawande Prashant R. shall be on probation for a period of two years.

The appointment is made subject to the verification of his character and antecedents and declaration of fitness by Medical Board.

In the event of any adverse matter noticed by the Government on verification of his character and antecedents his service will be terminated. Similarly, in the event of his declaration as unfit by the Medical Board his service will be terminated.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*B. T. Mahale*, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 4th April, 2002.

**Order**

No. 2/4/2002-II/PHD/690

Read: Memorandum No. 2/4/2002-II/PHD  
dt. 3-4-2002.

On the recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission as conveyed vide their letter No. COM/I/5/ /24(2)/93 dated 11-3-2002, the Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Bhandare Shreya Sachin Nee Naik Ujwala Nagesh, to the post of Assistant Lecturer in the Department of Anatomy, Goa Medical College, on temporary basis on an initial basic pay of Rs. 8000/- in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-275-13500+NPA with immediate effect as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above.

Dr. Bhandare Shreya Sachin Nee Naik Ujwala Nagesh, shall be on probation for a period of two years.

The appointment is made subject to the verification of her character and antecedents and declaration of fitness by Medical Board.

In the event of any adverse matter noticed by the Government on verification of her character and antecedents her service will be terminated. Similarly, in the event of her declaration as unfit by the Medical Board her service will be terminated.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*B. T. Mahale*, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 4th April, 2002.

**Order**

No. 25/18/99-I/PHD (PF)

On the recommendation of Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/I/5/24(2)/89 dated 6-11-2001, the Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Ramnath M. Panchwadkar, to the post of Public Health Dentist (Group 'A' Gazetted) under the Directorate of Health Services, Panaji, with immediate effect in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-275-13,500/- on the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum No. 21/4/2000-I/PHD dated 7-1-2002, and post him at Primary Health Centre, Candolim, against the vacant post.

Dr. Ramnath M. Panchwadkar, shall be on probation for a period of two years.

On the event of any adverse matter noticed by the Government on verification of his character and antecedents, his services will be terminated. He has been declared medically fit.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

*B. T. Mahale*, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 4th April, 2002.

**Order**

No. 24/3/99-I/PHD

Read: 1) Order No. 4/105083-H/GMC/33/1575 dated 27-5-1997.

2) Order No. 24/3/99-I/PHD dated 28-1-2000

Sanction of the Government is hereby conveyed for the revision of rates of package for medical charges of K.L.E.S. Hospital Belgaum, with effect from 1-3-2000 for the purpose of payment under Mediclaim scheme of the Government of Goa as per the Annexure-I.

This issues with the concurrence of Finance Department vide their U. O. No. Fin(Exp)/8069 dated 17-11-2000.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

B. T. Mahale, Under Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 5th April, 2002.

**ANNEXURE - I**

1. Closed Heart Surgery	Rs. 35,000/-
2. Open Heart Surgery	Rs. 95,000/-
3. Corenary Angiogram	
With Non-Ionic Dye	Rs. 11,000/-
With Ionic Dye	Rs. 9,500/-
4. Cardiac Catherisation	Rs. 11,000/-
5. Paricardial Tap	Rs. 3,000/-
6. Ballon Artrial Soptostomy	Rs. 23,000/-
7. P B M V	Rs. 40,000/-
8. P V P V	Rs. 35,000/-
9. P T C A	Rs. 60,000/-
10. Temporary Pacemaker	Rs. 4,000/-
11. Permanent Pacemaker	Rs. 13,000/-
(The cost of the Pacemakers, Hospital Stay Diagnostic/Investigation Charge will be extra)	
12. Peripheral Angiography	Rs. 10,000/-
13. Peripheral Angioplasty	Rs. 30,000/-
14. Total Hip Replacement	Rs. 85,000/-
15. Total Knee Replacement	Rs. 1,20,000/-

The cost of steel, values, etc. are brought out items and will directly affect the cost to the patient and this will be extra.

**Department of Revenue****Order**

No. 18/1/93-RD (Part)

Read:- Order No. 6/4/91-PER/Part-II dated 22-2-2002.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 118 of the Legislative Diploma No. 2070 dated 15-4-1961, the Government of Goa hereby appoints (1) Shri Dilip Sardessai as Administrator of Comunidades of North Zone, Mapusa, (2) Ms. Shabari Manjrekar as

Administrator of Comunidades South Zone, Margao, and (3) Shri Vinesh Arlekar, as Administrator Central Zone, Panaji, with effect from the date they assume charge, until further orders.

Sanjiv M. Gadkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 30th April, 2002.

**Notification**

No. 22/39/2001-RD

Whereas by Government Notification No. 22/39/2001-RD dated 26-7-2001 published on pages 307-308 of Series II, No. 18 of the Official Gazette, dated 2-8-2001 and in two newspapers (1) Gomantak Times dated 10-8-2001 (2) Gomantak dated 10-8-2001 it was notified under section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (central act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred as "the said Act") that the land, specified in the Schedule appended to the said Notification was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. L. A. for development of a swimming pool and Multipurpose Sports hall at Chaudi in Canacona Taluka.

And Whereas, the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of section 5A of the said Act is satisfied that the land specified in the Schedule hereto is needed for the public purpose specified above (hereinafter referred to "the said land").

Now, therefore, the Government hereby declares, under section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government also appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector & S. D. O., Quepem. to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and directs him under section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the Officer of the said Deputy Collector & S. D. O. Quepem. till the award is made under section 11.

**SCHEDULE**

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Canacona		Village: Chaudi
Survey No./ Sub-divi. No./	Names of the persons believed to be interested.	Appx. area in Sq. mts.
1	2	3
62/1 part	H: Alenadre Gracias. Isidore Gracias. Government of India Ministry of Surface Transport.	135
62/2 part	H: Anant Bhat. T: Shankar Datta Gaonkar.	232

1	2	3
62/3 part	H: Bhiku Krishna Kamat. Government of India Ministry of Surface Transport. T: Shankar Datta Gaonkar.	1519
62/4 part	H: Falcia Carvalho. Imeza Fernandes. Caitano Gracias. Government of India Ministry of Surface Transport.	518
62/5 part	H: Government of India, Ministry of Surface Transport. H: Baburao Kusta Naik Dessai and H: Bindiya B. Naik Dessai.	688
62/6 part	H: Bhiku Krishna Kamat. Government of India, Ministry of Surface Transport. T: Shankar Datta Gaonkar.	1134
62/7 part	H: Anant B. Canconkar. Ulhas B. Canconkar. Deepak B. Canconkar. Government of India, Ministry of Surface Transport.	808
62/8 part	H: Anand Kashinath Pai. Government of India, Ministry of Surface Transport. T: Baboi Bambo Komarpant.	751
62/9 part	H: Roja Fernandes. Government of India, Ministry of Surface Transport. T: Sangtu Shaba Dessai.	1574
62/10 part	H: Baburao Kusta Naik Dessai. and Bindiya B. Naik Dessai.	383
62/11 part	H: Anand Kashinath Pai. Anant Bhat. T: Baboy Bambo Komarpant. T: Shankar Datta Gaonkar.	450
62/12 part	H: Radhabai Ramnath Pai. Venkatesh Pundalik Pai. T: Naguesh Soiru Fal.	356
<b>Boundaries :</b>		
North: Road.		
South: S. No. 75/3, 10 & 11.		
East : S. No. 61/1 & 2.		
West : S. No. 63/8, 9 & 64/4, 9.		
		Total : 8548

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Sanjiv M. Gadkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 15th April, 2002.

## Department of Tourism

### Notification

No. 8/1/00-TDC(T)/494

Read: Notification No. 8/1/99-TDC(T) 2835 dated 11-12-2000.

In terms of Article 107 read with Article 117 of the Articles of Association of Goa Tourism Development Corporation Ltd. (hereinafter called the said Corporation) the Governor of Goa is pleased to nominate Shri Vikram Dev Dutt, Secretary Tourism and the Director of the said Corporation as Chairman of the said Corporation in place of Shri Vishwajit P. Rane, with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

N. Suryanarayana, Director of Tourism & Ex-officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 24th April, 2002.

## Department of Transport

### Office of the Director of Transport

### Order

No. 4/12/80-HD(G)/PF/586

Read: Order No. 4/12/80-HD(G)/PF/64 dt. 09-01-2001.

In pursuance of Article 68 read with Article 78 of the Articles of Association of the Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd. and in partial modification of the Government Order No. 4/12/80-HD(G)/PF/64 dated 9-1-2001, the Government is pleased to appoint Shri R. Raghuraman, Secretary (Transport) as the Director of Kadamba Transport Corporation Ltd., in place of Shri Venkatesh A. Desai with immediate effect.

The Government is further pleased to appoint Shri R. Raghuraman, Secretary (Transport) as the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Kadamba Transport Corporation Limited.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. A. Hawaldar, Director of Transport & Ex-officio Joint Secretary (TPT).

Panaji, 25th April, 2002.